

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/359,144	07/21/1999	ROBERT C. KNAPP	71298-2	2420
28469	28469 7590 04/21/2004		EXAMINER	
PRICE, HENEVELD, COOPER, DEWITT, & LITTON,			TONG, NINA C	
LLP/GENTEX CORPORATION 695 KENMOOR, S.E.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O BOX 2:	•		2632	
GRAND RAPIDS, MI 49501			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2004	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/359,144	KNAPP ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Nina Tong	2632			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Ja	nuary 2004.				
·		action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ 5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-73 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 47-61 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,10,14,15,17,21-24,26-46 and 62-73 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-9,11-13,16,18-20 and 25 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 5.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Art Unit: 2632

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Examiner has withdrawn the restriction rejection after further consideration.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 31A,B, are objected to because of the following informalities:

In the Specification (claims section), page 31, the first two claims: 31A, 31B have the improper claim number. Please cancels both claims and rewritten them as of claims 74 and 75. Please also make sure claim 74 depends on claim 26; claim 75 depends on claim 74.

Please change all "antennae" to -antennas--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 26-46 (including 31A,31B), 62-68, 69-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 26 line 2, please change "first first-directional" to -first second-directional--.



Art Unit: 2632

On lines 10,11, the phrase "one of said first and second forward and rearward-directed antennae" lacks antecedent basis.

Regarding claims 62,65, line 1, please change "claim 51" to -claim 52--, respectively.

Regarding claim 69 line 2, please change "first first-directional" to –first second-directional--.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1,10,14,15,17,21-24, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuntman et al. (6,313,783).

Regarding claims 1,10,14,17,21, Kuntman et al. discloses a transponder having directional antennas on an aircraft (considered as the vehicle), which comprises the claimed first and second directional antenna (104A,B) are inherently included the first and second directional signal detection fields, respectively (since the applicant did not claim the first and second detection fields are different, so both field could be the same) for transmitting and receiving signal to and from a remote location/device outside of the aircraft/vehicle, the claimed switching

Art Unit: 2632

network 110, the claimed receiver (112,114), the claimed controller (122), the claimed signal generator and the transmitter (is inherently included in the numerals 116,118).

Kuntman et al. Fails to specify the claimed antenna located on an exterior mirror of a vehicle.

As long as the system is function the same, having the antenna system mounted on any location would not constitute an inventive step but an obvious design choice. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the antenna system of Kuntman et al. mounted on any location as desired, such as the external mirror of the vehicle, in Kuntman et al. for performing the same function for obvious design choice.

Regarding claims 15,22-24, as long as the system is function the same, transmitting and/or receiving various signals to and from any device/location would not constitute an inventive step but an obvious design choice. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to transmit/receive signals to/from any device/location, such as the claimed emergency vehicle, vehicle access gate, telephone signals, GPS signals, in Kuntman et al. for performing the same function as desired.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 47-51,52-61 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2632

- 7. Claims 2-9,11,12,13,16,18,19,20,25, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Claims 26,69would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 9. Claims 27-46,31A,31B,62-68,70-73 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Talty disclosed a directional antenna for vehicle entry system.

Ryan et al. Disclosed a system for direction finding.

Jacomb-Hood Et al. Disclosed a transceiver and antenna system for communication with remote station.

Masudaya disclosed a keyless entry apparatus.

Lindenmeler et al. Disclosed radio system for remote control operation in a stationary vehicle.

Knapp disclosed a radio frequency control system.

Art Unit: 2632

Euscher et al. Disclosed a system for antenna selection control in a radio receiver.

Flaxi disclosed an identification system reader with multiplexed antennas.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nina Tong whose telephone number is 703-305-4831. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Wed. (9:30 -8:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Wu can be reached on 703-308-6730. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nina Tong Primary Examiner Art Unit 2632

Nina Tong April 17, 2004